EXHIBIT 2

```
1
                      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                         FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
 2
                               NORTHERN DIVISION
 3
        CHELSEA GILLIAM, et al.
                         Plaintiffs.
 4
                                           CIVIL CASE NO.
                      VS.
 5
                                           1:23-cv-01047-MJM
        DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY &
        CORRECTIONAL SERVICES, et al.,
 6
                         Defendants.
 7
                                           9:01 a.m.
 8
                         WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 22, 2023
                                 Courtroom 5C
 9
                              Baltimore, Maryland
                           TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10
                         ZOOM TRO HEARING - VOLUME II
                    BEFORE THE HONORABLE MATTHEW J. MADDOX
11
12
       For the Plaintiffs:
13
       Jessica P. Weber, Esquire
      Deborah M. Golden, Esquire
14
      Lauren A. DiMartino, Esquire
15
      Sharon Krevor Weisbaum, Esquire
      Evan Monod, Esquire
       Brown Goldstein & Levy
16
        120 East Baltimore Street, Suite 2500
17
        Baltimore, MD 21202
18
       For the Defendants:
19
      Merrilyn E. Ratliff, Esquire
20
       Kelly Mullen Donoho, Esquire
        Office of Attorney General
21
        6776 Reisterstown Road, Suite 313
        Baltimore, MD 21215
22
              (Computer-aided Transcription of Stenotype Notes)
23
                  Reported by: Amanda L. Longmore, RPR, FCRR
                        Federal Official Court Reporter
24
                       101 W. Lombard Street, 4th Floor
                          Baltimore, Maryland 21201
25
                                  410-962-4474
```

Continued Direct Examination - Kimberly Stewart

interaction with staff that they observed in the courtroom, but they did stay in the room and would not have been on the tier.

So the investigation is ongoing. At this point we haven't been able to substantiate anything but we will continue to investigate.

- Q. Thank you. So we're going to pick up on your testimony from yesterday. So did there come a time at Patuxent when Ms. Grey was placed on administrative segregation?
- A. Yes, on October 13th.

- Q. Okay. And what led to Ms. Grey's placement on admin seg?
- A. So I was the duty officer the month of October. The Chief of Security, myself, and the Warden rotate. Any time there's an incident going on in the facility the duty officer receives a call.

I received a call that evening that a confidential informant had approached the intel department saying that there was a rumor going around the facility that Ms. Grey had engaged in a sex act with another incarcerated individual, Mr. Carlton Bell, that the sex act occurred during early setup for Muslim service. Carlton Bell is a Muslim inmate. And that another unidentified Muslim incarcerated individual had walked in on them during the sex act, that the Muslim community was in an uproar over the disrespect to their religion and to their service.

Intel further advised me that Carlton Bell had recently

Continued Direct Examination - Kimberly Stewart

been released from disciplinary segregation for a physical fight with a different Muslim individual and was already on the outs with the Muslim community and that there was a concern that the Muslim community may retaliate for this act of disrespect against both Ms. Grey and Mr. Bell.

There was also a concern that if this rumor reached Mr. Scott Brill, who has a history of being potentially assaultive and he believed that the sex act had occurred, that Ms. Grey and/or Mr. Bell could also possibly be in danger from him as well. So with all of that alleged and made, a decision was made to place both of them on administrative segregation pending the investigation.

In an initial conversation with Ms. Grey, she reported to Sergeant Owens, she admitted to being in the area where the alleged sex act occurred, so we knew all of that on October 13th that she admitted that she was in the area, she denied there was a sex act, but this rumor, true or not, was being talked about in the facility and believed by the majority of the facility, and due to the potential safety risk she was — they were both placed on administrative segregation.

We also initiated the two SIRs and PREA complaint.

- Q. So is placement on administrative segregation, when something like that occurs, is that an ordinary response?
- A. Yes. If there is any concern that an individual may be in danger or that an individual poses a safety and security risk

Direct Examination - Dan Pacholke

supervision and no video surveillance.

MS. DONOHO: Your Honor, I would object and ask that answer be stricken for speculation. He doesn't know any of the actual background and has been going off of speculation and it also misconstrued the prior testimony of AW Stewart.

THE COURT: The objection's overruled.

BY MS. GOLDEN:

- Q. Is it standard or accepted correctional practice to acceptable to tell an inmate if she doesn't -- that she won't be charged for throwing water if she drops the charges against another inmate for throwing water?
- A. No. That sounds very informal and outside the rules.
- Q. And in your experience just generally, why would any inmate not want to pursue criminal charges?
- A. Well, there can be a variety of reasons, but Ms. Grey presents as a slight build -- she presents as a woman, slight build, not very tall, not much body weight who's serving a very, very long sentence. So part of it could be just doesn't want to be labeled a snitch and doesn't want to spend her entire sentence in segregation.

Part of it could be that someone either relayed information, hey, you better not follow through on that. But I think a lot of it can tie back to how they're viewed by the general population of the prison system when they're facing very long sentences and, at least in her case, it appears to be

Direct Examination - Dan Pacholke

- a person that would struggle to physically defend herself.
- Q. Can you say a little bit more about what it means to be marked as a snitch?
 - A. Well, it really depends on where you're at, I suppose, in which state that you're residing in, but overall, you know, there's a rule, they're not supposed to tell on each other and to a certain degree there is an inmate code around that and sanctions can be placed against you by either the person that you informed on, or perhaps their colleagues or friends or perhaps just someone that wants to make a name for themselves by saying, hey, I heard you're a snitch, I don't want you on my unit. I mean, those things are real.
 - Q. When you say there's a rule and sanctions, a prison -official prison rule?
 - A. It's more inmate culture, I would say, around informing in general.
 - Q. And does that same cultural taboo apply to disciplinary charges?
 - A. Can you please repeat that?
- 20 Q. Sure. Does that same taboo about snitching apply not just to criminal charges but internal disciplinary charges?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

Q. Okay. I'd like to talk about the incident I think you've heard described that Ms. Grey was charged with a disciplinary violation for in the yard.